

## 2020 MI Regional Postdoc Symposium: 3MT® Competition

Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Participant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

*Please check the boxes with your ratings for each of the criteria below, where  
1 = poor or not at all, 3 = average, 5 = completely or exceptional.*

<b>Presentation of Research</b>	1	2	3	4	5
The presenter clearly provided a background to the research question being addressed and its significance.					
The presenter stated the main points of the introduction, hypotheses/propositions, research methods, and conclusions/implications in a well-organized manner.					
The presenter logically built on ideas and provided clear examples; there was a storyline to the presentation as a whole.					
The presentation was the appropriate length (did not exceed 3 min). It did not seem hurried or too slow.					
<b>Sub-Section Total Points (sum):</b>					

<b>Engagement and Communication</b>	1	2	3	4	5
The presenter captured and maintained the audience's attention, and made the audience want to know more.					
The presenter was careful not to trivialize or generalize the research.					
The presenter conveyed enthusiasm for the research.					
The presenter has sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range, maintained a steady pace, and showed confidence.					
The presenter answered questions in an engaging, thorough manner that added greatly to the presentation.					
The presenter's PowerPoint slide enhanced the presentation - it was clear, legible, concise, and effective.					
<b>Sub-Section Total Points (sum):</b>					

<b>Final Score (Presentation of Research + Engagement and Communication):</b>	
Judge's Additional Comments/Notes:	